

# STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION RULE CHANGE SCHOOL DISTRICT FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	1							
WSR:	Title of Rule: I-1240 Section 209 Charter Schools					<b>Agency:</b> SDF - School District		
	Authorizer Ap	proval Process		Fiscal Impact - SPI				
Part I: Estimate	s							
No Fiscal Im	npact – There i	s no addition	al impa	ct due	to this rule	adoption.	The following is	
No Fiscal Impact – <u>There is no additional impact due to this rule adoption.</u> The following is OSPI's analysis on the fiscal impact of the legislation being passed.								
•	•	•			•			
Estimated Cash R	eceipts to:							
☐ No Estimated C	ash Receipts		1		T	_	T	
ACCOUNT		FY 2012	FY 20	)13	2011-13	2013-1	5 2015-17	
	Total \$							
Estimated Types	lituras Franci							
Estimated Expend								
☐ No Estimated E	xpenditures	FY 2012	FY 20	112	2011-13	2013-1	5 2015-17	
001-01		F1 2012	F1 20	)13	2011-13	95,000	2013-17	
001-01						33,000		
	Total \$					95,000		
						55,555		
<b>Estimated Capital</b>	Impact:							
☐ No Estimated 0	Capital Impact							
ACCOUNT		FY 2012	FY 20	)13	2011-13	2013-1	5 2015-17	
	Total \$							
The cash receipts and	expenditures esti	mate on this pag	ge represe	nt the r	most likely fisca	l impact.		
Check applicable	boxes and follo	ow correspor	ndina ins	tructi	ons:			
опостаррионало			9					
☑ If fiscal impact	is greater than	n \$50,000 per	fiscal ye	ear in t	the current b	oiennium or	in subsequent	
biennia, complete	entire fiscal n	ote from Part	ts I-IV.					
•								
$\square$ If fiscal impact	is less than \$5	0,000 per fisc	al year i	n the	current bien	nium or in s	subsequent biennia	
complete this pag	e only (Part I).							
_								
☐ Capital budget	impact, comp	lete Part IV.						
				l _,				
Agency Preparation: T.J. Kelly Phone: 360-725-6301 Date: 01/23/20							Date: 01/23/2013	

Name Here

Agency Approval:

Date: 08/16/2012

Phone: 360-725-0000

#### **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

# II. A – Brief Description Of What the Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section, the significant provisions of the rule, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

School districts who seek to become authorizers of charter schools will incur costs to obtain this approval through the application process. These costs were estimated based on projected total hours needed to complete the application process.

#### II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the rule on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

None.

# II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this rule (or savings resulting from this rule), identifying by section number the provisions of the rule that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Each school district who seeks to become an authorizer would incur \$95,000 of expense in the application process.

#### Part III: Expenditure Detail

# III. A – Expenditures by Object or Purpose

Total FTE	0.6
Salaries and Wages	60,123
Employee Benefits	12,600
Personal Service Contracts	17,000
Goods and Services	2,639
Travel	2,638
Total	95,000

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

None